GRADE ELEVEN ENGLISH LANGUAGE 'CORE'

MARKING GUIDE TOTAL MARKS: 60

page 1 of 6

SEMESTER TWO, 2022/2023, FIRST SESSION (Morning)

sense for any slightly longer, but obviously correct answers.

Qs 12-15: Responses must be indicated <u>clearly</u>.

	LISTENING 1 (7 mks)							
1.	0	a school	0	a house	•	an airport		
2.	0	friend	•	mother	0	father		
3.	0	sisters	lacktriangle	friends	0	brothers		
4.	lacktriangle	trust	0	talk with	0	study with		
5.	lacktriangle	12	0	1	0	2		
6.	0	harmful	lacktriangle	unreliable	0	funny		
7.	0	four	0	three	•	two		
Note	Notes: One mark each. Responses must be indicated clearly.							

			LIS	TENING 2 (8 mks)			
Part C)ne						
8.	Al R	ahma					
9.	1924	ı					
10.	India	ı					
11.	31						
Part T	wo						
12.	•	flames	0	clothes	0	voices	
13.	•	two	0	three	0	four	
14.	0	an Omani	•	a British	0	a Pakistani	
15.	0	three	0	four	•	five	
Notes: One mark each.							
Qs 8-11: (i) Complete accuracy in grammar & spelling is not required, but answers must be <u>clearly</u> and <u>convincingly</u> correct. (ii) In general, apply the ' <u>not more than four words'</u> . HOWEVER, use common							

	VCB (2.5 mks)									
	compete	experience	entire	suggest	completely	powerful	flock	connect		
1.	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0		
2.	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		
3.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•		
4.	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0		
5.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Not	t <u>es</u> : Half-a-r	nark each. Re	esponses m	ust be indicat	ed <u>clearly</u> .					

	GRM/ (2.5 mks)					
6.	as					
7.	for					
8.	still					
9.	did					
10.	to					
Note	es: Half-a-mark each. Responses must be indicated <u>clearly</u> .					

	GRM/ VCB (5 mks)								
11.	•	ago	0	yet	0	since	0	already	
12.	0	than	0	must	•	most	0	more	
13.	0	had	•	have	0	has	0	having	
14.	0	succeed	0	debate	•	promote	0	borrow	
15.		Since	0	Already	0	In	0	Yet	
16.	0	forgot	0	apologized	0	cut	•	achieved	
17.		can	0	are	0	have	0	is	
18.	0	the	•	than	0	most	0	more	
19.	0	deal	0	harm	•	inspire	0	learn	
20.	0	projects	0	bodies	0	features	•	societies	
Note	25. F	lalf-a-mark each Re	espons	ses must be indicate	ed cle	arly	•		

READING 1 (5 mks)									
	True	False	6.		the Buenos Aires Tango Festival	0	The tango World festival	0	The Luna Park festival
1.	0		7.	0	Argentinian culture	0	Argentinian music		Argentinian music and culture
2.	•	0	8.		one time	0	two times	0	three times
3.	0	•	9.	0	Food celebration		tango concerts	0	Football matches
4.	0	•	10.		Luna Park stadium	0	fort	0	theatre
5.	•	0	11.	0	tango concerts	0	Tango workshops		the tango markets
			12.	0	Texting	0	Calling		Sending an email
Note	es: One	mark each	n. Res	spons	es must be indicate	ed <u>clea</u>	arly.		

			RE	ADING 3 (8 mks)				
13.	3,13	3 meters						
14.	(Its)	summit						
15.	stee	р						
16.	can	see many birds						
17.		picnic areas	0	the summit	0	hiking areas		
18.	0	hotels	0	houses		camping areas		
19.		take it slow	0	Drinking water	0	camping		
20.	0	use your bike		take a guided trip	0	ride camels		
Notes	Notes: One mark each.							

Qs 13-16: (i) Complete accuracy in grammar & spelling is not required, but answers must be <u>clearly</u> and <u>convincingly</u> correct. (ii) In general, apply the '<u>not more than four words'</u>. HOWEVER, use common

sense for any slightly longer, but obviously correct answers.

Qs 17-20: Responses must be indicated clearly.

WRITING (GENERAL NOTES)

- The wording of the descriptors in the relevant Rating Scale should form the basis of all decisions (and discussions) on the marks to be awarded.
- There may be different individual ways of approaching a task but a basic requirement for all answers is that they are **relevant**.
- If answers are clearly not relevant and the student has clearly not attempted the task that was set, no marks should be awarded.
- However, if a student has **genuinely attempted the task**, but their answer is only **partly** relevant, then a **reduced** mark (<u>not</u> zero) should be awarded.
- If markers are in any doubt, they should consult with other markers and with the Table Head.

	WRITING 1 (5 mks)
5	-Expresses opinions on topics in a lively, convincing way. – Supports all points effectively with relevant evidence and detail. – Essays are very well-organised, clear and coherent. – A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy.
4	 Expresses opinions on topics in a reasonably convincing way. Supports most points with relevant evidence and detail. Essays are generally well-organised and, for the most part, clear and coherent. A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy
3	 expresses opinions on topics, in a somewhat limited way. Is inconsistent in supporting points with relevant evidence and detail. Essays are poorly- organised but are still reasonably clear and coherent. A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy
2	_Express opinions on topics, but the results are clearly inadequate. – Is generally weak in supporting points with relevant evidence. – Essays lack organization, lacking in coherence and sometimes unclear. – A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors.
1	-Makes only very feeble attempts to express opinions on topics. - Fails to support points with any relevant evidence. - Essays are incoherent and confusing. - Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent serious errors.
0	No attempt at the task: EITHER Irrelevant (Completely unrelated to the topic) OR Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English OR Complete nonsense

	WRITING 2 (10 mks)
10	 Produces narratives which are fully successful in engaging the reader. Lively, effective use of appropriate detail. Writing is very well-structured, clear and coherent. A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy
8	-Produces narratives which are reasonably successful in engaging the reader. – Generally good use of appropriate detail. – Writing is generally well-structured, and mostly clear and coherent. – A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy.
6	 Produces narratives which are only partially successful in engaging the reader. Somewhat limited use of appropriate detail. Writing is well-structured, but is still reasonably clear and coherent. A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy
4	 Produces narratives which have very limited success in engaging the reader. Inadequate use of appropriate detail. Writing is poorly-structured, and often unclear. A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors.
2	-Produces narratives which fail entirely to engage the reader. – Little or no use of appropriate detail. – Writing is incoherent and confusing. – Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent serious errors.
0	No attempt at the task: EITHER Irrelevant (Completely unrelated to the pictures/ task/ instructions) OR Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English OR Complete nonsense

ARRIVING AT FINAL SCORES

LST/ RDG: In these two sections, all student responses are of the objectively-marked, right-or-wrong type. So, there should <u>never</u> be any discrepancies in the marks awarded.

<u>Action required</u>: With 'short answer' items, there <u>may</u> be (accidental) discrepancies in the scores awarded by the two markers. The Supervisor/Adjudicator should be on the lookout for any such discrepancies, and if they occur, should read the students' response and award the correct mark.

WRITING: In this section, student responses are independently marked by two markers using their judgement (based on the wording of the Rating Scale provided). As a result, differences <u>may</u> sometimes occur between the two scores awarded.

In such cases, there are two possible procedures:

- Acceptable differences: If as in most cases the difference between the two scores is small, i.e. just one level, the Supervisor/Adjudicator is not required to read the student's response. He/She should simply use the mathematical average of the two scores. (*See below)
- 2) <u>Unacceptable differences</u>: However, if the difference between the two scores is substantial, i.e. *more than one level,* the Supervisor/Adjudicator should read the student's response and, after due consideration, decide on an appropriate mark.

CALCULATION OF AVERAGES:

WR	T 1	WR	T 2
Pair of	Final	Pair of	Final
scores	score	scores	score
10/8	9	10/8	9
8/6	7	8/6	7
6/4	5	6/4	5
4/2	3	4/2	3
2/0	1	2/0	1

IMPORTANT NOTE: As in previous years, *individual* markers should *only* award the marks specified in the relevant Rating Scale* (as in 'pair of scores' above). 'In-between marks' (as in 'final score' above) can *only* be awarded when two (differing) individual marks are combined by the Supervisor/ Adjudicator. [* <u>Note</u>: This means that, even though the computer screen shows <u>all</u> the possible <u>final</u> scores, *individual* markers should *only* use those scores which are mentioned in the scale.]